1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SANTA ANA		
3	000		
4	In Re: ) Case No. 8:23-bk-10898-TA		
5	JEFFREY S. BEIER, ) Chapter 7		
6	Debtor. ) Santa Ana, California ) Tuesday, 11:00 A.M.		
7	X March 5, 2024		
8	MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER		
9	OVERRULING DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO PROOF OF		
10	CLAIM 2-1 FILED BY THE BANK OF NEW YORK,		
11	SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO  JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,		
12	AS TRUSTEE FOR THE BEAR STEARNS ALT-A TRUST,		
13	MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-		
14	004 [DOCKET NUMBER 134]		
15			
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THEODOR ALBERT		
17	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
18			
19	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :		
20	For the Debtor: LANE K. BOGARD, ESQ.		
21	Haberbush, LLP 444 West Ocean Boulevard		
22	Suite #1400 Long Beach, California 90802		
23			
24			
25	Proceedings produced by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.		

1	For The Bank of New York Mellon FKA The Bank of	
2	New York, Successor Trustee to JPMorgan	888 Rio San Diego Drive
3	Chase Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the Bears	San Diego, California 92108
4	Stearns ALT-A Trust, Mortgage Pass-Through	
5	Certificates, Series 2005-04	
6	Court Recorder:	Tamika Law
7	Court Recorder	U.S. Bankruptcy Court Central District of California
8		Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States Courthouse
9		411 West Fourth Street Santa Ana, California 92701-4593
10		(855) 460-9641
11	Court Transcriptionist:	Ruth Ann Hager, C.E.T.**D-641 Ben Hyatt Certified Deposition
12		Reporters 17835 Ventura Boulevard
13		Suite #310 Encino, California 91316
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2 - 1		

	Page 3
1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, MARCH 5, 2024
2	<u>11:16 A.M.</u>
3	000-
4	THE COURT: #10.00 is the matter of Jeffrey
5	Beier. Appearances, please.
6	MS. BOGARD: Your Honor, this is Lane Bogard
7	appearing on behalf of the debtor and movant, Jeffrey
8	Beier.
9	THE COURT: Do we have anybody here on behalf
10	Bank of New York Mellon?
11	Oh, Mr. Delmotte, if that's if you're trying
12	to address the Court, you're not connected to audio. Can't
13	hear a word you're saying.
14	MR. DELMOTTE: (No audible response.)
15	THE COURT: I don't know what we're going to do
16	here. You there you are. Are you unmuted? Can you
17	MR. DELMOTTE: (No audible response.)
18	THE COURT: Well, is there anybody else appearing
19	on the matter of Jeffrey Beier?
20	(No audible response.)
21	No, not working. All right. Well, let's
22	Mr. Delmotte, while you're trying to figure out how to
23	connect your audio, let me talk to Ms. Bogard.
24	I gave you a fairly extensive tentative as to why
25	I don't think your motion is well taken. Do you want to

Page 4

1 | comment on it?

MS. BOGARD: Yes, Your Honor, thank you and thank you for the tentative. I did review it and I would like to make one point in response.

In the claim objection debtor argues that the claimant failed to meet its burden of proof to prove the chain of title related to the note. And the debtor argued that, you know, the documents attached raised to the proof of claim -- excuse me, raised questions such that there are clearly missing links in the chain of title. And therefore, even if the loan has been around for over a decade, the claimant still bears the burden of proving proper chain of title.

And for this motion to reconsider the fact that Wells Fargo came forward claiming at one point that they were the owner of the note, this fact coupled with the fact that the documents attached to the proof of claim do raise those questions, when considered together, we believe that that shows the issues -- show that issues exist as to the chain of title, which would be sufficient to reconsider that claim objection order because if the claimant has not met its burden, then there was -- then it would be manifest injustice in allowing that claim objection order to stand.

MR. SMYTH: Your Honor, can you hear me now?

THE COURT: Oh, yes. Mr. Delmotte, I can hear

5 Page 1 you. Please turn on your camera. MR. SMYTH: I apologize, Your Honor. I called in 2 3 but connected separately and there was some issue, but I'd 4 glad you can hear me now. 5 THE COURT: Yes, good. 6 Well, Ms. Bogard -- I don't know if you're able 7 to hear what she said, but she is essentially saying that 8 there's still questionable links in the chain of title. I 9 guess I would put it that way. And so for that reason and 10 because Wells Fargo had showed up at one point, it's --11 there's all grounds there for reconsideration. 12 But I have a fundamental question for your 13 client, Ms. Bogard. 14 MS. BOGARD: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: What's going on here? I mean, what's 16 really going on here? Is what's happening here that buyer 17 wants a piece of the monies that the Trustee has and by 18 obstructing this way he can maybe force a deal or 19 something? Is that what he's after? Because what -- I 20 come back to an issue here that I still don't have a good 21 answer for. 22 We're talking about before the interim payment 23 was made, we're talking about 2.8 million dollars or 24 thereabouts. That's a lot of money to just get lost in the

25

shuffle.

Page 6

If Wells Fargo was really entitled to that money, after 20 years you'd think they would say something about it, but they haven't. Now, what you point to is something from the last bankruptcy, I guess the 2008 bankruptcy where MERS, which is not Wells Fargo, but it's an acknowledged intermediary -- I guess I can use that general term -- said, well, maybe it's Wells Fargo and not Countrywide or something. Okay. That's fine, but nothing since then. And they, most importantly, have not come in lately and we're about to write some big checks here and you'd think of they were ever going to be interested in -- it's not 2.8 anymore, but it's still a lot of money, we'd have heard something, but we haven't.

And you on the strength of that want me to say, no, let's just keep cogitating on this so that maybe at the end buyer can force a deal whereby he gets some of it. Is that about what's happening here?

MS. BOGARD: Your Honor, it's not that my client is looking to get funds from the sale in front of the claimant. The issue is that he has been trying for years to make payments and get in touch with someone and was unable to do that with the Bank of New York Mellon. I believe his declaration attached to the claim objection addressed those issues.

And so because of that -- I mean, and the fact

	Page /
1	that there are these questionable links in the chain of
2	title. You know, he has been unable to get in touch with
3	anyone that would allow him to make payments or come to
4	some sort of agreement as to paying off the mortgage.
5	THE COURT: You think it's his absolute right to
6	make a deal?
7	MS. BOGARD: It
8	THE COURT: Why can't they say, pay what you
9	agreed, full stop?
LO	MS. BOGARD: Your Honor, I don't believe it's an
L1	absolute right. However, I think the fact that he's been
L2	unable to communicate with anyone shows even more that
L3	THE COURT: So he can refinance
L4	MS. BOGARD: they may not
L5	THE COURT: Find somebody who does want to do
L6	business with him, pay off the bank, and then he can do
L7	what he wants to do. Why isn't that a solution?
L8	MS. BOGARD: Your Honor, I think that is that
L9	could be a solution. However, at this point he is really
20	just trying to get in touch with somebody that will
21	communicate with him. And the fact that the bank is not
22	communicating
23	THE COURT: No, not put him in touch with
24	somebody. But you know what? I think I'm guessing and
25	Mr. Delmotte will speak for the bank they're freaking

Page 8

tired of dealing with him. Could that be an answer? The fact that he has accumulated arrearages of a huge amount of money probably tells me that they don't want to do business with him. Get out, is what their view is.

And the problem from your perspective is he doesn't have a right to anything, except what the Bankruptcy Code would give him or what some court will give him. Otherwise, he's got the contract. It's that simple.

And the Bankruptcy Court has frankly, if you want to combine two cases together, given him 18 years. You know what? That's long enough.

MS. BOGARD: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know, he's just -- he's out of excuses at this point.

Now at this point your problem is there's no incentive for the bank to giving anything because it's been liquidated and all that's happening now is who gets the Trustee's check, right? So the golden moment was long past is what I'm thinking is really going on here.

The question you're given today is to see if you can dig up something that will give him more time and maybe with more time he'll cook up something that will induce the bank to out of, I suppose, pure fatigue give into him.

Well, it's not looking good.

Mr. Delmotte, have I nailed it correctly or am I

Page 9

missing something?

MR. SMYTH: No, Your Honor. You've nailed it correctly. We appreciate the Court's thoughtful analysis reflected in its tentative ruling and we're prepared to submit on that tentative ruling.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, that's it. Yeah, you're right. Some of these mortgages back from the day are less than perfect provenance. I grant you that. Some of the cases I saw was just embarrassing that big institutions would be that sloppy or that forgetful or whatever, but that's from another era. I think we have fortunately sorted most of that out now and so we're left with these few cases that are holdovers from the great recession/market crash. There aren't too many of them left, thank God.

And this is one of them, but the fact that Wells Fargo is still, even today, with all that money that's sitting waiting for word to whom the check should be written, still is not here tells me all that I need to know. It's not like there's no chain of title. There is the chain of title. They did give me some documents and it looked like it was enough to persuade me that they were probably the holder.

But that combined with this other factor tells me, no, they're the holder and you're -- nothing you're

	Page 10	
1	raising in this motion persuades me that I made a mistake	
2	so your motion is denied.	
3	Mr. Delmotte, would you please submit a form of	
4	order to that effect?	
5	MR. DELMOTTE: We will, Your Honor. Thank you.	
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	MS. BOGARD: Thank you.	
8	(End at 11:29 a.m.)	
9	* * * * * *	
10	I certify that the foregoing is a correct	
11	transcript from the electronic sound recording of the	
12	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.	
13	Poth Day Hagas	
14	Reth Ann Hager	
15	Date: 9/10/2024	
16	RUTH ANN HAGER, C.E.T.**D-6410	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

